



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,399	01/05/2006	Alan Berry	21841USWO(C)038435/0196234	3243
7590 08/01/2008				
Stephen M Haracz Bryan Cave 1290 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10104			EXAMINER RAGHU, GANAPATHIRAM	
			ART UNIT 1652	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 08/01/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/563,399

**Applicant(s)**

BERRY ET AL.

**Examiner**

GANAPATHIRAMA RAGHU

**Art Unit**

1652

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) GANAPATHIRAMA RAGHU.(3) Jihong Zang.(2) Rebecca Prouty.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 July 2008.Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yese) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-7.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Rejection of claims 1-7 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) obviousness rejection were discussed. The Office indicated that cited references fully support a prima facie case for "Obviousness" rejection and would certainly consider any affidavit or arguments towards support for unexpected results.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ganapathirama Raghu/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required